Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. Date of Submission: 12/29/2006

2. Agency: Small Business Administration

3. Bureau: Chief Information Officer
4. Name of this Capital Asset: OCIO: OA /T/ I Meta 300
5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT 028-00-02-00-01-7001-00

investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)

6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009? (Please Mixed Life Cycle

NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY2009, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2009 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)

7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?

FY2002

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:

This is SBA's integrated Infrastructure Business Case. It encompasses all of the SBA's Information Technology & Information Management (IT/IM) infrastructure functions & investments/projects that support common user systems, communications, & the computing infrastructure. Although these investments are integrated in this Exhibit 300, each functions & investment is individually managed.

The majority of these discreet investments are in the Steady State/O&M Lifecycle phase, consisting of continuous control phase activities for ongoing oversight of legacy IT systems & services. However, OA/T/I is a mixed lifecycle investment due to the planning & acquisition effort associated with the IPV-6 & HSPD-12 directed initiatives, & planning for DCMS Hosting (Data Center), associated with DCMS modernization.

SBA's Infrastructure (the OA/T/I investment) activities fall within the following four general services groups or "clusters":

- 1. Computing Services Cluster- (Data Centers),
- 2. Communications Services Cluster (Networks),
- 3. Office Automation Cluster, Seat Management, & Desktop Customer Support (Desktop Support)
- 4. Cross-Cutting Cluster (Enterprise-Wide Applications); This cluster includes applications that support multiple enterprise-wide programs or that non-separable from the LOB infrastructure defined areas.

OA/T/I resources support internal Program Offices' mission requirement & are applied horizontally across the entirety of the SBA. Within these four clusters, The OATI infrastructure supports a user base of up to 5,000 SBA staff & contractors at more than 100 locations. Enterprise-wide applications includes (but are not limited to):

- Centralized/consolidated/shared web hosting, housing, content management
- Enterprise-wide software licensing
- E-mail management;
- System administration policies;
- Testing & integration services:
- E-forms, workflow & intranet portals.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee	Yes
approve this request?	

a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? 7/24/2006

10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit? Yes

11. Contact information of Project Manager?

a. What is the current FAC-P/PM certification level of the project/program manager?

12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project?

No ble

a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?

Yes

b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable

No

to non-IT assets only)

- 1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?
- 2. If "ves," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?
- 3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?
- 13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives?

Nο

If "yes," check all that apply:

a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

Elements of the IT infrastucture provide core enabling technologies and tools for the practice of e-government., e.g.: wide area network bandwidth and connectivity, internet content, e-mail, desktop and mobile computing with standard software products, data backup, restoration and recovery technologies. Without these primary IT capabilities, expanded e-government activities could not

- 14. Does this investment support a program assessed using No the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)
- a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review?
 - b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?
 - c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?
- 15. Is this investment for information technology?

Yes

If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23

For information technology investments only:

- 16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Level 2 Guidance)
- 17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)
- (4) Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started
- 18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)

No

No

- 19. Is this a financial management system?
- a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?
 - 1. If "yes," which compliance area:
 - 2. If "no," what does it address?
- b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52
- 20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Hardware 12.000000 Software 11.000000 Services 77.000000

Other

21. If this project produces information dissemination Yes products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

- 22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:
- 23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and

Yes

Exhibit 300: OCIO: OA /T/ I Meta 300 (Revision 7)

Records Administration's approval?

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO No High Risk Areas?

Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

(Estin	Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)													
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2007	CY 2008	BY 2009	BY+1 2010	BY+2 2011	BY+3 2012	BY+4 and beyond	Total					
Planning:	0.2	0.3	0.933	0										
Acquisition:	0.205	0	0	0										
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	0.405	0.3	0.933	0										
Operations & Maintenance:	40.625	41.4363	42.4644	37.0847										
TOTAL:	41.030	41.7363	43.3974	37.0847										
	Governme	nt FTE Costs	should not	be included	in the amou	unts provide	d above.	<u> </u>						
Government FTE Costs	8.847	3.442	4.797	4.842										
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	29	43	43	43										

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

- 2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional No FTE's?
 - a. If "yes," How many and in what year?
- 3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:

The Summary of Spending has change to better reflect the relignment of this investment with the Federal governments Infrastructure LOB (incorporating the DCMS Data Center Hosting). Specific costs associated with Data Centers (previously incorporated in non-infrastructure investments) have been added.

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Contracts/Ta	ontracts/Task Orders Table: * Costs in millions															
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?		End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Interagenc y	Is it performanc e based? (Y/N)	Competitiv ely awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/em ail)	Certificatio	has the competenci es and skills
Annual Maintenance F5 network load balancers	8(a) FFP	Yes	6/1/2007	8/1/2007	7/31/2008	68	No	No	No	NA	No	No	Gurley, Sharon A	202-205- 6622 / sharon.gurle y@sba.gov	Level 3	
Operations Project Mgmt Support	8(a) competitive	Yes	8/31/2007	9/1/2007	8/31/2008	2000	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Gurley, Sharon A	202-205- 6622 / sharon.gurle y@sba.gov	Level 3	
Legacy Apps Support: Cobol & PowerBuilder	8(a) competitive	Yes	1/31/2007	4/17/2007	4/30/2011	13000	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Gurley, Sharon A	202-205- 6622 / sharon.gurle y@sba.gov	Level 3	
	Alaska Native 8(a) FP IDIQ	Yes	9/1/2007	9/1/2007	8/31/2009	700	No	Yes	No	NA	No	Yes	Gurley, Sharon A	202-205- 6622 / sharon.gurle y@sba.gov	Level 3	
Database & Platform Config. Supt.	8(a) competitive	Yes	1/31/2007	4/17/2007	4/30/2011	15000	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Gurley, Sharon A	202-205- 6622 / sharon.gurle y@sba.gov	Level 3	
Web Presence: Commercial software operations support	8(a) competitive	Yes	1/31/2007	4/17/2007	4/30/2011	11000	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Gurley, Sharon A	202-205- 6622 / sharon.gurle y@sba.gov	Level 3	
Web Applications: Development & Maintenance Support	8(a) competitive	Yes	1/31/2007	3/20/2007	3/31/2011	20000	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Gurley, Sharon A	202-205- 6622 / sharon.gurle y@sba.gov	Level 3	
	8(a) FFP- volume	Yes	8/1/2007	9/30/2007	9/29/2009	660	No	No	No	NA	No	Yes	Gurley, Sharon A	202-205- 6622 / sharon.gurle y@sba.gov	Level 3	_
Common Enterprise IT Support (Helpdesk/W AN/NW	Alaskan Native 8(a) FFP	Yes	1/27/2006	1/27/2006	2/9/2011	12378	No	Yes	No	NA	No	Yes	Gurley, Sharon A	202-205- 6622 / sharon.gurle y@sba.gov	Level 3	

Contracts/Ta	ask Orders T	able:												-	* Co	osts in millions
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?		End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagenc y Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performanc e based? (Y/N)	Competitiv ely awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/em ail)	Contracting Officer Certificatio n Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	has the competenci es and skills
Mgmt/Teleco m)																
Microsoft Enterprise Software Assurance Agreement	FFP quantity	Yes	9/10/2007	9/15/2007	9/14/2008	12000	No	No	No	NA	No	Yes	Gurley, Sharon A	202-205- 6622 / sharon.gurle y@sba.gov	Level 3	
	SDV FFP annual	Yes	2/16/2007	2/16/2007	2/15/2008	243	No	No	No	NA	No	Yes	Gurley, Sharon A	202-205- 6622 / sharon.gurle y@sba.gov	Level 3	
Maintenance Cognos	8(a) FFP	Yes		8/1/2007	7/31/2008	327		No	No	NA	No		Gurley, Sharon A	202-205- 6622 / sharon.gurle y@sba.gov	Level 3	
Annual Maintenance Adobe	8(a) FFP	Yes	6/1/2007	8/1/2007	7/31/2008	160.95	No	No	No	NA	No	No	Gurley, Sharon A	202-205- 6622 / sharon.gurle y@sba.gov	Level 3	
Annual Maintenance Sybase	8(a) FFP	Yes	6/1/2007	8/1/2007	7/31/2008	193	No	No	No	NA	No	No	Gurley, Sharon A	202-205- 6622 / sharon.gurle y@sba.gov	Level 3	
Annual Maintenance F5 network load balancers	8(a) FFP	Yes	6/1/2007	8/1/2007	7/31/2008	193	No	No	No	NA	No	No	Gurley, Sharon A	202-205- 6622 / sharon.gurle y@sba.gov	Level 3	
Annual Maintenance Accuity	8(a) FFP	Yes	6/1/2007	8/1/2007	7/31/2008	93	No	No	No	NA	No	No	Gurley, Sharon A	202-205- 6622 / sharon.gurle y@sba.gov	Level 3	
Annual Maintenance Oracle	8(a) FFP	Yes	6/1/2007	8/1/2007	7/31/2008	122	No	No	No	NA	No	No	Gurley, Sharon A	202-205- 6622 / sharon.gurle y@sba.gov	Level 3	
Annual Maintenance Sun Server & Solaris	8(a) FFP	Yes		8/1/2007	7/31/2008	225.5		No	No	NA	No	No	Gurley, Sharon A	202-205- 6622 / sharon.gurle y@sba.gov	Level 3	
SBAHQ-05- C-0002	Firm Fixed Price	Yes	12/1/2004	12/1/2004	11/30/2009	9.367	No	No	Yes	NA	No	Yes	Gurley, Sharon A	202-205- 6622 / sharon.gurle	Level 3	

Contracts/T	ntracts/Task Orders Table: * Costs in milli														osts in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number			If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/	End date of Contract/	Contract/	Interagenc y	performanc	Competitiv	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact	Certificatio n Level (Level	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competenci es and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
														y@sba.gov		

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain

Current contracts, under this consolidated business case support operations and maintenance efforts. As investments are brought under this business (e.g., IPV6 and HSPD-12 D/M/E efforts) EVM will be incorporated in accordance with SBA guidance.

3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance?

Yes

a. Explain why:

4. Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?

Yes

a. If "ves," what is the date?

11/30/2007

b. If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?

Yes

1. If "no," briefly explain why:

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond FY 2009.

	Strategic							
Fiscal Year	Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2007	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	Customer Results	Service Coverage	Service Efficiency	% of HQ SBA Employees served by Help Desk			
2007	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	IT Infrastructure Maintenance	% of network infrastructure up to date			
2007	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	Processes and Activities	Productivity and Efficiency	Productivity	Currency of enterprise hardware/softwa re			
2007	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Availability	Infrastructure down time			
2008	Ensure that all SBA programs	Customer Results	Service Coverage	Service Efficiency	% of HQ SBA Employees			

Performance In	erformance Information Table											
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results				
	operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services				served by Help Desk							
2008	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	IT Infrastructure Maintenance	% of network infrastructure up to date							
2008	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	Processes and Activities	Productivity and Efficiency	Productivity	Currency of enterprise hardware/softwa re							
2008	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Availability	Infrastructure down time							
2009	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	Customer Results	Service Coverage	Service Efficiency	% of HQ SBA Employees served by Help Desk							
2009	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	IT Infrastructure Maintenance	% of network infrastructure up to date							
2009	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	Processes and Activities	Productivity and Efficiency	Productivity	Currency of enterprise hardware/softwa re							
2009	Ensure that all SBA programs operate at maximum efficiency and effectiveness by providing them with high quality executive leadership and support services	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Availability	Infrastructure down time							

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

For existing Mixed-Life Cycle investments where enhancement, development, and/or modernization is planned, include the investment in both the "Systems in Planning" table (Table 3) and the "Operational Systems" table (Table 4). Systems which are already operational, but have enhancement, development, and/or modernization activity, should be included in both Table 3 and Table 4. Table 3 should reflect the planned date for the system changes to be complete and operational, and the planned date for the associated C&A update. Table 4 should reflect the current status of the requirements listed. In this context, information contained within Table 3 should characterize what updates to testing and documentation will occur before implementing the enhancements; and Table 4 should characterize the current state of the materials associated with the existing system.

All systems listed in the two security tables should be identified in the privacy table. The list of systems in the "Name of System" column of the privacy table (Table 8) should match the systems listed in columns titled "Name of System" in the security tables (Tables 3 and 4). For the Privacy table, it is possible that there may not be a one-to-one ratio between the list of systems and the related privacy documents. For example, one PIA could cover multiple systems. If this is the case, a working link to the PIA may be listed in column (d) of the privacy table more than once (for each system covered by the PIA).

The questions asking whether there is a PIA which covers the system and whether a SORN is required for the system are discrete from the narrative fields. The narrative column provides an opportunity for free text explanation why a working link is not provided. For example, a SORN may be required for the system, but the system is not yet operational. In this circumstance, answer "yes" for column (e) and in the narrative in column (f), explain that because the system is not operational the SORN is not yet required to be published.

Please respond to the questions below and verify the system owner took the following actions:

- 1. Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified Yes and integrated into the overall costs of the investment:
- a. If "yes," provide the "Percentage IT Security" for the 0.000000 budget year:
- 2. Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part Yes of the overall risk management effort for each system supporting or part of this investment.

3. Systems in Planning and Undergoing Enhancement(s), Development, and/or Modernization - Security Table(s):												
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	Planned Operational Date	Date of Planned C&A update (for existing mixed life cycle systems) or Planned Completion Date (for new systems)									
DCMS Hosting (New Model)	Contractor and Government	2/29/2008	2/1/2008									
Upgrade to Disaster Recovery hardware	Contractor and Government	2/29/2008	2/1/2008									

4. Operational Sys	4. Operational Systems - Security Table:													
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, NIST 800-26, Other, N/A)	Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested							
	Contractor and Government	High	Yes	3/15/2007	FIPS 200 / NIST 800-53	7/17/2007	9/12/2007							
HQ Data Center Services	Contractor and Government	Moderate	Yes	9/15/2006	FIPS 200 / NIST 800-53	9/15/2006	8/23/2006							
	Contractor and Government	High	Yes	9/15/2006	FIPS 200 / NIST 800-53	9/15/2006	8/9/2006							
	Contractor and Government	High	Yes	9/15/2006	FIPS 200 / NIST 800-53	9/15/2006	7/10/2006							

- 5. Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of No the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG?
 - a. If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into Yes

the agency's plan of action and milestone process?

6. Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is No requested to remediate IT security weaknesses?

- a. If "yes," specify the amount, provide a general description of the weakness, and explain how the funding request will remediate the weakness.
- 7. How are contractor security procedures monitored, verified, and validated by the agency for the contractor systems above?

Monitoring, verifying, and validating contractor security procedures are incorporated into SBA's overall Continuous Monitoring Process. Within SBA's Continuous Monitoring Process, individual OA/T/I infrastructure investment/project monitoring is guided and managed in accordance with individual plans that provide specific details pertaining to the activities, schedule, and responsibilities associated with Continuous Monitoring.

OA/T/I is a consolidated business case; and the investments that make-up this business case are not managed as a single project. As such, SBA does not develop or consolidate a single plan to monitor, verify, and validate OA/T/I as a whole. OA/T/I investments fall under the OCIO; the Office of Information Systems Support and the Office of Communications Technology Services & IT Security are primarily responsible continuous monitoring. The following SBA's Continuous Monitoring Process schedule of activities describe how OA/T/I provides relevant continuous monitoring:

Annually SBA: coordinates/facilitates reviews and updates of the business impact analysis with the System Owner, conducts a FISMA Self Assessment reviews, verifies SBA and contract employees have completed their annual security awareness training, and verifies that the organization monitors the security controls in the system on an ongoing basis.

Quarterly SBA: conducts vulnerability scans using Foundstone, non-intrusive policies for the mainframe, and for non-intrusive policies for Windows platforms, CISCO routers, switches and Solaris platforms.

Monthly SBA: audits accounts against personnel actions (terminations). Remove/disable any existing accounts for employees and / or contractors who have terminated, and compile incident data and report incidents to Fedcirc, including viruses, spam, other security incidents.

Daily SBA: monitors firewall audit logs for suspicious activity and unauthorized changes to the firewall rule base (Note: audit policies are established and controlled by the CISO).

Continuously SBA: controls changes to the system, report significant changes to the CISO, analyzes significant changes for security impacts and conduct C&A activities as appropriate; additionally, monitors and investigate IDS log/alerts (note: IDS policies established and contro

3. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:											
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation						
DCMS Hosting (New model)	Yes	No	PIA location for DCMS included in DCMM Exhibit 300		SORN location for DCMS included in DCMM Exhibit 300						
DCMS Hosting (Shared Services Model)	No	No	PIA Location for DCMS included in DCMM Exhibit 300		SORN location for DCMS included in DCMM Exhibit 300						
HQ Data Center Services Systems	No	Yes	http://www.sba.gov/abou tsba/sbaprograms/foia/pa pias/index.html								
Local and Wide Area Networks (including e- mail)	No	Yes	http://www.sba.gov/abou tsba/sbaprograms/foia/pa pias/index.html								
Mainframe Data Center Services (including loan accounting and related subsystems)	No	Yes	http://www.sba.gov/abou tsba/sbaprograms/foia/pa pias/index.html	No							
Upgrade to Disaster Recover hardware Details for Text Optio	No	No	This Business Case is for the Data Center. The PIA link for this System is part of the DCMS System Exhibit 300.		This Business Case is for the Data Center. The SORN link for this System is part of the DCMS System Exhibit 300.						

Details for Text Options:

Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.

Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN.

Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business

Exhibit 300: OCIO: OA /T/ I Meta 300 (Revision 7)

case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

Yes

- a. If "no," please explain why?
- 2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

Yes

a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. The following OAT/T/I Meta 300 is identified in the SBA Transition Plan/Migration and Sequencing Plan within the following Transition Strategies (Name & Location/Exhibit):

- o Information Transfer Exhibit 4-25
- o High performance information processing infrastructure Exhibit 4-26,
- o Technology Infrastructure Exhibit 4-28,
- o Transition from Ipv4 to IPv6 Exhibit 4-17

- b. If "no," please explain why?
- 3. Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture?

No

a. If "yes," provide the name of the segment architecture as provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:

Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
Asset Transfer, Allocation, and Maintenance	Support the movement, assignment, and replacement of assets	Back Office Services	Asset / Materials Management	Asset Transfer, Allocation, and Maintenance			No Reuse	1
Computers / Automation Management	Support the identification, upgrade, allocation and replacement of physical devices, including servers and desktops, used to facilitate production and processdriven activities	Back Office Services	Asset / Materials Management	Computers / Automation Management			No Reuse	1
Property / Asset Management	Support the identification, planning and allocation of an organization's physical capital and resources	Back Office Services	Asset / Materials Management	Property / Asset Management			No Reuse	1
Data Cleansing	Support the removal of incorrect or unnecessary characters and data from a data source	Back Office Services	Data Management	Data Cleansing			No Reuse	8
Data Exchange	Support the interchange of information between multiple systems or applications; includes verification that transmitted data was received unaltered	Back Office Services	Data Management	Data Exchange			No Reuse	8
Extraction and Transformation	Support the manipulation and change of data	Back Office Services	Data Management	Extraction and Transformation			No Reuse	8

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
Meta Data Management	Support the maintenance and administration of data that describes data	Back Office Services	Data Management	Meta Data Management			No Reuse	8
J	Support the organization of data from separate data sources into a single source using middleware or application integration as well as the modification of system data models to capture new information within a single system	Back Office Services	Development and Integration	Data Integration			No Reuse	8
Instrumentation and Testing	Support the validation of application or system capabilities and requirements	Back Office Services	Development and Integration	Instrumentation and Testing			No Reuse	3
Legacy Integration	Support the communication between newer generation hardware/softwa re applications and the previous, major generation of hardware/softwa re applications		Development and Integration	Legacy Integration			No Reuse	4
Software Development	Support the creation of both graphical and process application or system software	Back Office Services	Development and Integration	Software Development			No Reuse	5
Configuration Management	Control the hardware and software environments, as well as documents of an organization	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Configuration Management			No Reuse	4
Governance / Policy Management	Influence and determine decisions, actions, business rules and other matters within an organization	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Governance / Policy Management			No Reuse	3
Program / Project Management	Manage and control a particular effort of an organization	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Program / Project Management			No Reuse	3
Quality Management	Help determine the level that a product or service satisfies certain requirements	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Quality Management			No Reuse	2
Requirements Management	Gather, analyze and fulfill the needs and prerequisites of an organization's efforts	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Requirements Management			No Reuse	3
Network Management	Monitor and maintain a communications network in order	Business Management Services	Organizational Management	Network Management			No Reuse	5

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:

ldentify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
	to diagnose problems, gather statistics and provide general usage							
Alerts and Notifications	Allow a customer to be contacted in relation to a subscription or service of interest	Customer Services	Customer Preferences	Alerts and Notifications			No Reuse	4
Content Publishing and Delivery	Allow for the propagation of interactive programs	Digital Asset Services	Content Management	Content Publishing and Delivery			No Reuse	3
Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Support the transfer of knowledge to the end customer.	Digital Asset Services	Knowledge Management	Knowledge Distribution and Delivery			No Reuse	3
Knowledge Engineering	Allow access to data and information for use by an organization and its stakeholders	Digital Asset Services	Knowledge Management	Knowledge Engineering			No Reuse	3
Computer / Telephony Integration	Support the connectivity between server hardware, software and telecommunicati ons equipment into a single logical system	Support Services	Communication	Computer / Telephony Integration			No Reuse	3
Access Control	Support the management of permissions for logging onto a computer, application, service, or network; includes user management and role/privilege management	Support Services	Security Management	Access Control			No Reuse	4
Remote Systems Control	_	Support Services	Systems Management	Remote Systems Control			No Reuse	3
System Resource Monitoring	Support the balance and allocation of memory, usage, disk space and performance on computers and their applications	Support Services	Systems Management	System Resource Monitoring			No Reuse	2

a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service

component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in the column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:
To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and

Service Specifications supportin	g this IT investment.				
FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)	
Knowledge Engineering	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	C, C++	
Knowledge Engineering	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	Java/J2SE	
Knowledge Engineering	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	JavaScript	
Knowledge Engineering	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	JSR 53 (Java Servlet)	
Data Integration	Component Framework	Data Interchange	Data Exchange	DOD CCR warehousing	
Data Cleansing	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis		
Property / Asset Management	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	FAST asset management system	
Asset Transfer, Allocation, and Maintenance	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	FAST asset management system	
Property / Asset Management	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	FAST asset management system	
Meta Data Management	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	IRWIN Meta Data Management	
Extraction and Transformation	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	OLAP	
Access Control	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Static Display	HTML	
Access Control	Component Framework	Security	Certificates / Digital Signatures	SSL	
Access Control	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	SSH	
Alerts and Notifications	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	Electronic Mail	
Alerts and Notifications	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	System to System	
Network Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	Internet Explorer	
Network Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	Netscape	
Computer / Telephony Integration	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Wireless / PDA	Palm Operating System	
Network Management	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Internet		
Network Management	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet		
Remote Systems Control	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Virtual Private Network (VPN)	Sprint	
Access Control	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	Privacy	
Content Publishing and Delivery	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	Section 508	
Access Control	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	Security	
Access Control	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	Web Content Accessibility	
Network Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	НТТР	
Network Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	HTTPS	
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Oracle Relational DB	
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	SQL Server	
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Sybase	
Computers / Automation Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers		
Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Portal Servers		
Computers / Automation Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	Hard Disk Drive	
Computers / Automation Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	Microprocessor	
Computers / Automation Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	RAID	
Computers / Automation	Service Platform and	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	RAM	
Management Network Management	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Hardwara / Infrastructura	Local Area Network (LAN)	Ethernet	
<u> </u>	Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)		
Computers / Automation Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Digital Subscriber Line (DSL)	
Computers / Automation Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Firewall	
Computers / Automation Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	НВИ	
Computers / Automation Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	ISDN (Integrated Services Digital Network)	

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:

To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)	
Computers / Automation Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	T1/T3	
Legacy Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	Cliet/Server, and Web based applications	
Computers / Automation Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	Enterprise Server	
Legacy Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	Unisys Mainframe	
Configuration Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Change Management	
Quality Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Defect Tracking	
Software Development	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Development Management	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Issue Management	
Requirements Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Requirements Management and traceability	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Task Management	
Configuration Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Version Management	
Instrumentation and Testing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	Functional Testing	
Configuration Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	Performance Profiling	
Configuration Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	Security and Access Control Testing	
Computers / Automation Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	J2EE 9Java 2 Platform Enterprise Edition)	
Computers / Automation Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Wireless / Mobile	J2ME (Java 2 Platform, Micro Editon)	

- a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications
- b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.
- 6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?
 - a. If "yes," please describe.

Exhibit 300: Part II: Planning, Acquisition and Performance Information

Section A: Alternatives Analysis (All Capital Assets)

Part II should be completed only for investments identified as "Planning" or "Full Acquisition," or "Mixed Life-Cycle" investments in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

In selecting the best capital asset, you should identify and consider at least three viable alternatives, in addition to the current baseline, i.e., the status quo. Use OMB Circular A-94 for all investments and the Clinger Cohen Act of 1996 for IT investments to determine the criteria you should use in your Benefit/Cost Analysis.

- 1. Did you conduct an alternatives analysis for this project?
 - a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed?
- b. If "no," what is the anticipated date this analysis will be completed?
 - c. If no analysis is planned, please briefly explain why:

 FY08 Planning Resources are included for supporting DCMS

 Modernization

Alternative Analysis Results: * Costs in milli Use the results of your alternatives analysis to complete the following table:								
Alternative Analyzed	Description of Alternative	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Costs estimate	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Benefits estimate					
Status Quo	Maintain current Hosting	0	0					

- 3. Which alternative was selected by the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee and why was it chosen?
- 4. What specific qualitative benefits will be realized?
- 5. Will the selected alternative replace a legacy system in-part No or in-whole?
- a. If "yes," are the migration costs associated with the migration to the selected alternative included in this investment, the legacy investment, or in a separate migration investment.
 - b. If "yes," please provide the following information:

List of Legacy Investment or Systems						
Name of the Legacy Investment of Systems	UPI if available	Date of the System Retirement				

Section B: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

No

- 1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?
 - a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan? 9/30/2004
- b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?
- c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:
- 2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed? No
 - a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?
 - b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?

This is an integrated business case; this "business case investment" is not managed as a single project. As such, SBA does develop or consolidate each individual investment under a single "consolidated" Risk Management Plan.

However, Risk is reviewed as part of ongoing C&As.

Additionally each specific investment/project, is expected to develop a Risk Management Plan and activity manage the risks identified under their individual plan.

Also, in FY05 SBA conducted and documented a overall high level assessment of Risks associated with SBA infrastructure projects; as well as incorporates Risk issues into its recurring IT management discussions and meetings.

3. Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule:

Section C: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

EVM is required only on DME portions of investments. For mixed lifecycle investments, O&M milestones should still be included in the table (Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline). This table should accurately reflect the milestones in the initial baseline, as well as milestones in the current baseline.

- 1. Does the earned value management system meet the criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard-748?
- 2. Is the CV% or SV% greater than +/- 10%? (CV%= CV/EV x Yes 100; SV%= SV/PV x 100)
 - a. If "yes," was it the CV or SV or both?
 - b. If "yes," explain the causes of the variance:

The Cost and Schedule Performance reflects the DCMS Hosting (Data Center) planning in support of the DCMM modernization effort. There was a schedule slipage on the Electronic Loan Application (ELA) project due to a change in Administration and new priorities. The ELA is a DME portion of the DCMM Exhibit 300 and explained in more detail on that exhibit. Because of this schedule slip, hardware for the project was not purchased as planned. Since the hardware was not purchased the monthly hosting costs did not increase as planned. We had planned on increaseing the hosting cost starting in April 2007. Each month the ELA equipment purchase is delayed, the resulting cost increase to host the equipment is delayed. We expect the FY2007 cost variance to increase every month until the equipment is purchased or a new hosting contract is awarded. Adjustments to the FY2008 hosting cost projections will account for the delays and costs related to the new hosting model.

c. If "yes," describe the corrective actions:

This variance is a result of the this efforts integration with DCMM. Re-baseline of the FY2007 EVM, retroactive to April 2007, is the corrective action that could bring the PV back into line.. This would eliminate the expected hosting cost increases related to the DME portion of the DCMM initiative and bring all variances to zero on a Firm Fixed Price contract.

- 3. Has the investment re-baselined during the past fiscal year? No
- a. If "yes," when was it approved by the agency head?

4. Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

mulcate o for any milestone no for		Initial Baseline		Current Baseline				Current Baseline Variance		
Milestone Number	Description of Milestone	Completion Date	Total Cost (\$M) Estimated	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		Total Cost (\$M)		Schedule (# days)	Cost (\$M)	Percent Complete
		(mm/dd/yyyy)		Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual	(# days)		
05-1	FY 05 OATI w- Telecomm	9/30/2005	\$29880.192	9/30/2005	9/30/2005	\$29880.192	\$29880.192	0	\$0	100.00%
06-1	FY 2006 OATI O&M	9/30/2006	\$40242.786	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$40242.786	\$40242.786	О	\$-3219.42288	92.00%
07-1	FY 07 OATI O&M w-Telecomm	9/30/2007	\$38636	9/30/2007		\$38636				0.00%
08-1	FY 08 OATI O&M w-Telecomm	9/30/2008		9/30/2008						0.00%
09-1	FY09 OATI O&M	9/30/2009		9/30/2007						0.00%
DCMS 1	DCMS Hosting	9/30/2007	\$3.100292	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$3.100292	\$1.78541	0	\$0.797133	83.30%
DCMS 2	DCMS Hosting FY08	9/30/2008		9/30/2008						0.00%
DCMS 2.1	Old Model	5/30/2008		5/30/2008						0.00%
DCMS 2.2	Transition	3/28/2008		3/28/2008						0.00%
DCMS 2.3	New Model	9/30/2008		9/30/2008						0.00%
DCMS 3	DCMS Hosting FY09	9/30/2009		9/30/2009						0.00%
DCMS 4	DCMS Hosting FY10	9/30/2010		9/30/2010						0.00%
DCMS 5	DCMS Hosting FY11	9/30/2011		9/30/2011						0.00%
DCMS 6	DCMS Hosting FY12	9/30/2012		9/30/2012						0.00%
DCMS 7	DCMS Hosting FY13	9/30/2013		9/30/2013						0.00%
DCMS 8	DCMS Hosting FY14	9/30/2014		9/30/2014						0.00%
Project Totals		9/30/2014		9/30/2014	9/30/2007		\$	2557	\$-3221.238878	36.74%